

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | 1 | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|---------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/717,402 11/19/2003 | | 11/19/2003 | Randall J. Huebner | ACM 356 | 7456 |
| 23581 | 7590 | 04/13/2006 | | EXAMINER | INER |
| KOLISCH | | • | RAMANA, ANURADHA | | |
| 200 PACIFI 520 SW YA | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| PORTLANI | O, OR 9 | 7204 | 3733 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----|--|--|--|
| | 10/717,402 | HUEBNER, RANDALL J. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| • | Anu Ramana | 3733 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | | | ,,, | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periorally reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER | DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 | November 2003. | | | | | |
| • " | nis action is non-final. | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo | awn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached Onic | Le Action of format 10-132. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure | nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applica Tionty documents have been recei | ation No | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a li | · | ved. | | | | |
| Coo the attached actained Cines dotton for a ii | 2. 2 22 22 22 23 1.0. 1.0001 | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summa | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | Date I Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/717,402

Art Unit: 3733

f

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a method, classified in class 606, subclass 60.
- II. Claims 21-32, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 69.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the method can be practiced by an apparatus not having a receiver structure, such as a bone plate having a thin region.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

| Species | Figures | |
|---------|---------|--|
| I | 3 | |
| II | 4 | |
| III | 6 | |
| IV | 9 | |
| V | 11 | |
| VI | 12 | |

Application/Control Number: 10/717,402

Art Unit: 3733

The species are independent or distinct because they have differing structural characteristics (MPEP 806.04).

Upon election of one of the groups of invention, Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-7, 12-16, 18 and 32 are held to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to the Attorney of Record, James R. Abney, on April 10, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Art Unit: 3733

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AR Amuadha Ramana April 12, 2006